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Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

## Key to mark scheme abbreviations

| M | mark is for method |
| :---: | :---: |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for explanation |
| $\checkmark$ or ft or F | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| CAO | correct answer only |
| CSO | correct solution only |
| AWFW | anything which falls within |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to |
| ACF | any correct form |
| AG | answer given |
| SC | special case |
| OE | or equivalent |
| A2,1 | 2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks |
| $-x$ EE | deduct $x$ marks for each error |
| NMS | no method shown |
| PI | possibly implied |
| SCA | substantially correct approach |
| c | candidate |
| sf | significant figure(s) |
| dp | decimal place(s) |

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

| Q Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1(b) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 37.49 \times 24 \text { or } 899 .(\ldots) \\ & \text { or } \\ & 37.49 \times 0.7 \text { or } 26 .(\ldots) \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  |
|  | ```their 899.(...)\times 0.7 or 629.(...) or their 26.(...)\times 24 or 629.(...) or 629.(...)``` | M1 |  |
|  | their 629.(...)+109.99 or 739.(...) | M1 |  |
|  | 739.8(...) and No or 739.75 and No | A1 | AWRT 739.8 <br> Condone 739.85 |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Alternative method 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $37.49 \times 24$ or $899 .(\ldots)$ <br> or <br> $37.49 \times 0.7$ or $26 .(\ldots)$ | M1 |  |
|  | 1(b) <br> their $899 .(\ldots) \times 0.7$ or $629 .(\ldots)$ <br> or <br> their $26 .(\ldots) \times 24$ or $629 .(\ldots)$ <br> or <br> $629 .(\ldots)$ | M1 | M1 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $70 .(\ldots)<109.99$ and No | A1 |  |



| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2(a) | 80000 | B 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Always Young |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(b) | $\frac{16.9-13.7}{16.9}(\times 100 \%)$ <br> or <br> 13.7( $\times 100 \%$ ) and compares with $100 \%$ | M1 | oE $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC2 for } \\ & \frac{1}{5} \times 764000=152800 \neq 136000 \\ & \text { or } 764000-152800=611200 \neq 628000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


|  | $16.9$ <br> or $\frac{4}{5} \times 16.9$ |  | or $\frac{136000}{764000}(\times 100 \%)=17.8 \%$ <br> or $\frac{628000}{764000}(\times 100 \%)=82.2 \%$ <br> and <br> Always Young is wrong/the statement is incorrect/it isn't quite one-fifth/ could be true it's nearly one-fifth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [18.9,19] \% <br> or <br> 13.5(...) and 13.7 seen | A1 |  |
|  | Always Young is wrong or the statement/headline is incorrect or it isn't quite one-fifth or could be true it's nearly one-fifth | E1 | E1 one correct statement/agreement OE |
|  | Dynamic Youth |  |  |
|  | Working out the total number men 16-24 or women aged 16-24 <br> Men: $362000 \div 0.152$ <br> or <br> Women: $265000 \div 0.121$ | M1 | This can be implied in the correct number of men/women aged 16-24 given below |
|  | Any value within range [2380 000, 2400 000] | A1 |  |
|  | Any value within range [2 100 000, 2200 000] | A1 |  |
|  | Putting their values as a ratio with attempts to simplify it (i.e 1.09:1 etc) or comparing it to 11:10 | A1 |  |
|  | Ratio of 1.09:1 calculated and Dynamic Youth is correct/the statement/headline is correct | E1 | OE |


|  | Additional Guidance |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | For Always Young, if candidates use $15.1 \% / 12.2 \% ~ l e a d i n g ~ t o ~$ <br> $15.1 \% / 12.3 \% ~ l e a d i n g ~ t o ~$ <br> $18.5(\ldots) \%$ can score M1 A0 E1 |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 2(c) | Any three of <br> Display figures in tables e.g. give the <br> actual figures for each quarter/year <br> rather than the differences <br> Ensure data is accurate before <br> publishing it (eg for 16-24, 362 000 <br> (men) + 265 000 (women) $\neq 628$ 000) <br> Use a consistent time period <br> throughout (eg for youth long term <br> unemployment, the period was August <br> - October but in all other parts of the <br> briefing paper, references were made <br> for September - November) | E3 | E1 for each valid suggestion <br> Ignore any additional but incorrect <br> suggestions <br> SC1 (for two or three errors identified with <br> no/incorrect suggestions for improvement) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Improve clarity of definitions <br> Graph needs to be more accurate eg <br> larger scale <br> Sort into categories <br> Axes need to be labelled <br> Use more charts (to make information <br> clearer) | OE |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 3(a) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 3(b) | $(z=) \frac{M-65}{11} \text { seen }$ | M1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{M-65}=[0.25,0.26]$ |  |  |
|  | 11 <br> and attempts to find $M$ by re-arranging the equation <br> or <br> (67.75, 67.86) | M1 |  |
|  | 67 | A1 | cao |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | If candidates use 121 instead of 11 can score M0 M1 A0 |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 3(c) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \times 30 \times 5 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 15 \times 5 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (£) 75 and No or <br> (£)5 more needed | A1 | OE |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 3(d) | $(z=)(60-65) \div 11 \text { or } \quad(-) \frac{5}{11}$ <br> or (-) 0.45(...) | M1 | Condone (65-60) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $140 \div \mathrm{P}(z>\text { their } 0.45 . .)$ <br> or $140 \div[0.67364,0.67724]$ | M1 |  |
|  | [206, 208] | A1 |  |
|  | [236, 238] | A1ft | ft their [206, 208] + 30 with at least one M1 scored |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | If candidates use 121 instead of 11 they can score M0 M1 A0 A1ft |  |  |


| $\mathbf{Q}$ | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 4(a) |  | B2 | B2 for all four pairs correctly matched <br> B1 for two or three pairs correctly matched |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 4 (b) | pmcc for Jamir $\rightarrow[0.96,0.97]$ | B1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Jamir's data shows a) strong positive <br> correlation (so it is possible) <br> or <br> very close to 1 | E1 |  |
|  | Correct statement comes from their pmcc for Jamir calculated to be $>0.9$ but not $[0.96,0.97]$ <br> can score B0E1 |  |  |


| 4(c) | pmcc for Lily $\rightarrow$ [0.81, 0.82] | B1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | (Lily's data shows a) positive <br> correlation but not as strong as Jamir <br> 's pmcc is closer to 1 <br> or <br> The correlation of lily's data is not as <br> strong (positive) as for Jamir's | E1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Correct statement comes from their pmcc for Lily calculated to be <0.9 but not [0.81, 0.82] can <br> score B0E1 |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(d)(i) | All four points correctly plotted. | B2 | B2 all four points correctly plotted <br> B1 two or three points correctly plotted |
| 4(d)(ii) | $C=1893+0.107 S$ | B2 | for 1893 accept [1890, 1900] <br> for 0.107 accept [0.106, 0.107] or 0.11 <br> B1 either value correct <br> Allow $y=1893+0.107 x$ |
|  | Correct line drawn from at least $S=6000 \text { to } S=12000$  | B2 | ft their equation $\pm 1 / 2$ square <br> B2 two points on their $C=1893+0.107 S$ and line drawn <br> B1 for at least one correct point identified or plotted |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | For 6000, the coordinates are (6000, 2535) |  |  |
|  | For 12000 , the coordinates are ( 12000,3177$)$ |  |  |
|  | Line has to be drawn for values of S from 6000 to 12000 |  |  |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 4(d)(iii) | Alternative Method 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20000 \div 7$ or [2850, 2860] | M1 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & ([2850,2860]-\text { their } 1893) \div \text { their } \\ & 0.107 \end{aligned}$ | M1dep |  |
|  | [8630, 9150] | A1ft | ft correct evaluation using their equation |
|  | Alternative Method 2 |  |  |
|  | $20000 \div 7$ or [2850, 2860] | M1 |  |
|  | (Draws a line from their [2850, 2860] and) reads value from their regression line or their line of best fit | M1dep | Implied by correct reading |
|  | Correct value from their line | A1ft | $\pm 1 / 2$ square |


| Q Answer |
| :--- |
| Q |
| 5(a) 1.5 Mark Comments |


| 5(b) | $\begin{aligned} & (z=)(59-59.6) \div \text { their } 1.5 \text { or }(-) \frac{2}{5} \\ & \text { or }(-) 0.4 \\ & \text { or } \\ & (z=)(59.8-59.6) \div \text { their } 1.5 \text { or }(-) \frac{2}{15} \\ & \text { or }(-) 0.13(33 \ldots) \end{aligned}$ | M1 | ft their answer to 5(a) <br> Condone (59.6-59) or (59.6-59.8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}<$ their 0.4$)=$ ) their 0.65542 <br> or <br> $(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}<$ their -0.4$)=$ ) their 0.34458 <br> or <br> ( $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}<$ their 0.13$)=$ ) their 0.55172 <br> or <br> $(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{z}>$ their 0.13$)=$ ) their 0.44828 | M1dep | One correct reading |
|  | their $\mathrm{P}(-0.4<\mathrm{z}<0.13)$ | M1 | OE eg shown diagrammatically on labelled Normal distribution curve or 0.55172-0.34458 |
|  | 0.20(714) | A1ft | OE or better, eg 0.21 or 0.207 or 0.208 allow 0.2 if method seen ft their answer to 5(a) |


| Q | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 6(a) | Alternative method 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{35.2+41.8}{2}$ | M1 | Mean/median temperature |
|  | 38.5 | A1 |  |
|  | $90 \%$ value $\rightarrow 1.64$ (49) or 1.64 seen | B1 | 1.64(49) can be implied in Cl calculation |
|  | their 38.5 - their $1.64(49) \times \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}=35.2$ or their 38.5 + their $1.64(49) \times \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}=41.8$ | M1 | OE <br> M1 allow one error eg <br> use of $\sqrt{ } \sigma$ instead of $\sigma$ <br> for using $n$ instead of $\sqrt{ } n$ <br> using $\sigma$ and $n$ at the denominator and numerator |
|  | $99 \%$ value $\rightarrow 2.57$ (58) or 2.58 seen | B1 | 2.57(58) can be implied in Cl calculation |
|  | their $38.5 \pm$ their $2.57(58) \times(35.2$ - their 38.5 ) $\div$ - their 1.64 (49) or their $38.5 \pm$ their $2.57(58) \times(41.8$ - their 38.5) $\div$ their $1.64(49)$ or their $38.5 \pm$ their2.57(58)× their2.0(...) | M2 | M2 for both correct expressions <br> M1 allow one error <br> Note: values must be substituted |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & (33.3,43.7) \\ & \text { or } \\ & 38.5 \pm 5.17 \\ & \text { or } \\ & 38.5 \pm 5.2 \end{aligned}$ | A1 |  |


| Q Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Q Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 6(b) | 37 lies in the given $90 \%$ or the calculated $99 \%$ confidence interval or accept similar explanation | B1ft | ft their 99\% confidence interval if used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Claim is wrong/incorrect/not supported | E1 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Only ft for the B1 |  |  |

